Developing a journal authenticator tool

Dr. Kelly Cobey kcobey@ohri.ca@kdcobey











Centre for Journalology

http://www.ohri.ca/journalology/

ANALYSIS

How predatory journals leak into PubMed

Andrea Manca PhD, David Moher PhD, Lucia Cugusi, PhD, Zeevi Dvir PhD, Franca Deriu MD PhD

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

How stakeholders can respond to the rise of

Manoj Mathew Lalu, Larissa Shamseer, Kelly D. Cobey & David Moher

✓

Stress testing journals: a quasi-experimental study of rejection rates of a previously published paper

Heather Cunningham⁷, Ana Patricia Avala⁷, Hana Raffoul⁸, Faizan Khan², Larissa Shamseer² and David Moher^{1,2}

Kelly D. Cobey^{1,2*}, Danielle B. Rice^{1,3}, Manoj M. Lalu^{1,4,5}, Daniel Abramowitz⁶, Nadera Ahmadzai¹,

Open access

Research

BMJ Open Knowledge and motivations of researchers publishing in presumed

predatory journals: a survey

Kelly D Cobey, ^{1,2} Agnes Grudniewicz, ^{3,4} Manoj M Lalu, ^{9,5} Danielle B Rice, ^{9,6,7} Hana Raffoul, ⁸ David Moher ^{9,9}

Comment | Published: 04 December 2017

predatory journals

Potential predatory and legitimate biomedical journals: can you tell the difference? A cross-sectional comparison

Larissa Shamseer^{1,2} David Moher^{1,2}, Onyi Maduekwe³, Lucy Turner⁴, Virginia Barbour⁵, Rebecca Burch⁶, Jocalyn Clark⁷, James Galipeau¹, Jason Roberts⁸ and Beverley J. Shea⁹

ANALYSIS

- Predatory journals enter biomedical databases through public funding
- Providing guidance to publicly funded authors on how to publish their work in open access journals is likely to reduce the waste of public money, say David Moher and colleagues
- Andrea Manca, ¹ Lucia Cugusi, ¹ Andrea Cortegiani, ² Giulia Ingoglia, ² David Moher, ^{3,4} Franca Deriu¹

Stop this waste of people, animals and money

David Moher, Larissa Shamseer, Kelly D. Cobey, Manoj M. Lalu, James Galipeau, Marc T. Avey, Nadera Ahmadzai, Mostafa Alabousi, Pauline Barbeau, Andrew Beck, Raymond Daniel, Robert Frank, Mona Ghannad, Candyce Hamel, Mona Hersi, Brian Hutton, Inga Isupov, Trevor A. McGrath, Matthew D. F. McInnes, Matthew J. Page, Misty Pratt, Kusala Pussegoda, Beverley Shea, Anubhay Srivastava, Adrienne Stevens + et al.

What is a predatory journal?

1. Scoping Review

2. Delphi

3. Consensus & plan of action



18 questions and 3 rounds to reach.

predatory publishing that can protect scholarship. It took 12 hours of discussion,

What is a predatory journal? A consensus



Consensus Definition

"Predatory journals and publishers are entities that prioritize self-interest at the expense of scholarship and are characterized by false or misleading information, deviation from best editorial and publication practices, a lack of transparency, and/or the use of aggressive and indiscriminate solicitation practices."

Consensus: Next steps

- A "one stop shop" of resources on predatory journals
 - Database of research, media coverage, policies
 - Educational resources for different stakeholders

A journal authenticator tool

Journal authenticator tool

- Automated tool to describe journal transparency practices
- Provides the user with information about a journal in order to make a decision
- User-centred design approach which will consider stakeholders including: patients/public; researchers and institutions; journals, publishers and societies



Inspired by research. Inspiré par la recherche.

Driven by compassion. Guidé par la compassion.

Journal authenticator example



JOURNAL AUTHENTICATOR TOOL (JAT)

International Journal of all things A-Z

•	False or misleading information	Behaviour	JAT evaluation
		Reports Index Copernicus	Yes
		Uses fake DOIs	Yes
		Contains plagiarised content	
		Uses archiving	No
•	Deviation from best practices	Is a member of COPE	No
		Is listed in the DOAJ	No
		Uses ORCID ids	No
		Questionably rapid acceptance timeline	Yes
		Low quality writing on website	
•	Lack of transparency	TOP factor score	1
		Are peer review reports public?	No
		Is data sharing occuring?	No
		Has verifiable contact information	Yes

- Use API's where available
- Programming
- Some items harder than others to automate
- Will require validation

What are we doing now?

- Determining values and preferences of patients/public
 - Survey on internet use to obtain health information
 - Focus groups on predatory journals and journal authenticator tool



Seeking funding

- Draft protocol for journals, publishers and societies
- Mitacs Elevate proposal; Jeremy Ng
 - Looking for an industry partner, ~15k Canadian

Next steps

Moving forward journal authenticator tool

- Populating the one stop shop with resources
 - ~50 people joined our call to action, will engage these individuals to review and pilot content

Discussion questions

- Should COPE's membership list become a list of acceptable journals for capturing legitimate journals?
- Can COPE develop a process to announce the equivalence of retractions so that an author who unknowingly published with a predatory publisher can submit said article to a legitimate journal?
- Can COPE develop a global compact for journals/publishers to sign to commit to best practices and to oppose actively the work of predatory publishers.

Developing a journal authenticator tool

Dr. Kelly Cobey kcobey@ohri.ca@kdcobey









